JACS
JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY
Article
pubs.acs.org/JACS

Iridium(III)-Catalyzed Direct Arylation of C−H Bonds with Diaryliodonium Salts

Pan Gao,[†,§] Wei Guo,[‡] Jingjing Xue,[†] Yue Zhao,[†] Yu Yuan,[§] Yuanzhi Xia,*[,‡] and Zhuangzhi Shi*[,†]

[†]State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Life Sciences, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

[‡]College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325035, China

[§]College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: By developing a new Ir(III)-catalyzed C−C cross-coupling, a versatile method for direct arylation of sp^2 and sp^3 C−H bonds in ketoximes, nitrogen-containing heterocycles, various arenes, and olefins has been established. The key to this arylation depends on the appropriate choice of catalyst and the use of diaryliodonium triflate salts as the coupling partners. This transformation has good functional group compatibility and can serve as a powerful synthetic tool for late-stage C−H arylation of complex compounds. Mechanistic studies by density functional theory calculations suggested that the sp^3 C−H activation was realized by a triflate-involved concerted metalation−deprotonation process, and the following oxidation of Ir(III) to Ir(V) is the most favorable when a bistriflimide is contained in the diaryliodonium salt. Calculations indicated that both steps are enabled by initial anion exchange between the reactant complexes.



INTRODUCTION

Transition-metal-catalyzed C−H activation reactions have emerged as one of the most useful and powerful tools in organic synthesis.[1] The aliphatic C−H bonds, which are ubiquitous in organic molecules, are most challenging targets for effective and selective functionalization to construct a variety of C−C and C−heteroatom bonds. Direct C−H arylation has advantages over traditional coupling protocols, especially when the regioselective introduction of halides in a particular synthetic intermediate is problematic or requires multistep operation.[2] Notable advances have been made in sp^3 C−H arylation reactions in different compounds chelating with directing groups (Scheme 1).[3] Early studies were initiated by Pd(II)-catalyzed direct arylation of 8-methylquinoline, which was a good substrate because of its chelating ability.[4] In order to functionalize alkyl C−H bonds in more synthetically useful substrates, many strategies have been developed. Yu et al. demonstrated Pd(II)-catalyzed direct arylation of aliphatic acids, amides, amino acid derivatives, and peptides by using external ligands such as amino acids, pyridines, quinolines, and so on to control the reactivity and selectivity of the catalyst.[5] Another powerful strategy exploited chelation assistance is the utilization of a bidentate directing group such as picolinamide or the 8-aminoquinolinyl moiety in palladium-catalyzed C−H arylation reactions.[6] Remarkably, Nakamura,[7] Chatani,[8] and Ackermann[9] et al. recently discovered that the Fe and Ni catalysts were also applicable in aliphatic C−H arylation in conjunction with the bidentate directing groups.

Despite the great progress made in this field, these well-established C−H activation reactions still have several limitations. First, the cyclometalation intermediates are formed in the presence of different ligands, oxidants, bases, and solvents, thereby making discovery of a versatile catalytic system applicable to various substrates difficult. Second, the substrates are typically limited to 8-methylquinoline, carboxylic

Scheme 1. Development of Transition-Metal-Catalyzed sp^3 C−H Arylation Reactions



Received: January 4, 2015
Published: September 8, 2015

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

acids, aliphatic amines, and their related derivatives, and expanding the scope to include other types of substrates remains a critical challenge. Third, arylation of methyl groups adjacent to quaternary centers is described in most cases, especially in Fe and Ni catalytic systems.[7−9] Ketoxime is an ideal directing group, which can be easily introduced and removed from the substrates.[10] The β-arylated ketoxime is a prominent structural motif which can convert to many bioactive natural products and pharmaceutically important compounds such as 15-keto Latanoprost acid,[11a] 6-Gingerol,[11b] Symphyoketone,[11c] and Loxoprofen[11d] (Scheme 2). To the best of our knowledge, there is no general method available for the introduction of a phenyl group in the β-position of ketoximes via aliphatic C−H activation. Herein, we demonstrate $[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$-catalyzed intermolecular direct arylation of sp^3 C−H bonds in ketoximes with diaryliodonium triflate salts. Moreover, heterocycle-directed sp^3 C−H bonds and various aryl and vinylic C−H bonds are also compatible in this versatile catalytic system. The synthetic application and plausible mechanism of the current reaction were also studied.

Scheme 2. β-Arylketone Motifs in Natural Products and Pharmaceutical Compounds



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Direct Arylation of Aliphatic C−H Bonds with Diaryliodonium Salts. Half-sandwich Ir(III) complexes have received recent research interest in C−H activation because of their catalytic activity toward various chemical transformations.[12,13] However, most of these reactions proceed through cleavage of aryl C−H bonds, and aliphatic C−H activation reactions are still rare.[10e,f,14] In 2014, Li et al. developed Ir(III)-catalyzed C−H alkynylation of (hetero)-arenes using hypervalent iodine−alkyne reagents.[15] Inspired by this chemistry, we reasoned that diaryliodonium triflate salts[16] could be desirable arylating reagents, making the challenging aliphatic C−H activation feasible via a concerted metalation−deprotonation (CMD) mechanism.[17] To evaluate the potential of the high valent iridium catalyst for aliphatic C−H activation, we first investigated the reactions of pinacolone oxime (**1a**) with *p*-tolyl (mesityl)iodonium triflate (**2a**). By employing 20 mol % of $AgNTf_2$ as the halide abstractor and 5 mol % of $[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ as the precatalyst to generate cationic Cp*Ir(III) in situ in cyclohexane at 100 °C, we indeed observed the desired product **3aa** by GC-MS analysis, with a very small amount of disubstituted byproduct **4aa** (Table 1, entry 1). However, the addition of base such as CsOPiv was proven to be disadvantageous to the reaction, as the yield was markedly decreased (entry 2). Switching the additive to AgOAc increased the yield to 30% (entry 3), and the use of PivOH as an alternative to AgOAc resulted in a significantly improved yield (entry 4). During our research, we found that the freshly distilled cyclohexane was much better than the old one. Consequently, addition of 4 Å MS indeed improves the efficiency of the reaction, affording **3aa** in 86% yield (entry 5). The application of lower catalyst loadings to 2.5 mol % of $[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ and 10 mol % of $AgNTf_2$ resulted in a reduced yield (entry 6). However, the addition of a slight excess of $AgNTf_2$ (15 mol %) maintained the high reactivity, affording the product **3aa** in 89% yield (entry 7). Notably, when 1 mol % of catalyst loading (entry 8) or lower reaction temperature (70 °C) was used (entry 9), the conversions are still high. Further examination of other cheaper acids, such as AcOH, provides worse results (entry 10). The solvent strongly affected the reactivity of this reaction. When the reaction was performed in

Table 1. Optimization of the Reaction Conditions[a]



entry	catalyst (mol %)	additives (equiv)	solvent	T (°C)	yield of **3aa** (%)[b]
1	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (5) + $AgNTf_2$ (20)		cyclohexane	100	28 (95:5)
2	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (5) + $AgNTf_2$ (20)	CsOPiv (3.0)	cyclohexane	100	6 (99:1)
3	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (5) + $AgNTf_2$ (20)	AgOAc (3.0)	cyclohexane	100	30 (97:3)
4	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (5) + $AgNTf_2$ (20)	PivOH (3.0)	cyclohexane	100	43 (95:5)
5	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (5) + $AgNTf_2$ (20)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	86 (96:4)
6	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (2.5) + $AgNTf_2$ (10)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	65 (98:2)
7	**$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (2.5) + $AgNTf_2$ (15)**	**PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS**	**cyclohexane**	**100**	**89 (98:2) (86%)**[c]
8	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (1) + $AgNTf_2$ (6)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	71 (97:3)
9	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (2.5) + $AgNTf_2$ (15)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	70	81 (97:3)
10	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (2.5) + $AgNTf_2$ (15)	AcOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	67 (98:2)
11	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (2.5) + $AgNTf_2$ (15)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	DCE	100	17 (98:2)
12	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (2.5) + $AgNTf_2$ (15)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	acetone	100	19 (99:1)
13[d]	$[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$ (2.5) + $AgNTf_2$ (15)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	67 (75:25)
14	$[(Cp^*RhCl_2)_2]$ (5) + $AgSbF_6$ (20)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	0
15	$[\{RuCl_2(p\text{-cymene})\}_2]$ (5) + $AgPF_6$ (20)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	0
16	$[(Cp^*Co(CO)I_2)_2]$ (5) + $AgSbF_6$ (20)	PivOH (3.0) + 4 Å MS	cyclohexane	100	0

[a]Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.60 mmol), **2a** (0.20 mmol), catalyst, additives, and in solvent (1.0 mL) at 100 °C, 12 h, under Ar. [b]Yield was determined by GC analysis of the mixture, and values in parentheses indicate the mono/di ratio of products. [c]Isolated yield. [d]Using 0.4 mmol **1a**.

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

Table 2. Scope of the sp^3 C−H Arylation of Ketoximes and Nitrogen-Containing Heterocycles[a]



1 2a 3



[a]Reaction conditions: **1** (0.60 mmol), **2a** (0.20 mmol), 2.5 mol % of [(Cp*IrCl$_2$)$_2$], 15 mol % of AgNTf$_2$, 3.0 equiv of PivOH, and 200 mg of 4 Å MS in cyclohexane (1.0 mL) at 100 °C, 12 h, under Ar; isolated yield. [b]Isolated as a mixture of oxime *E*/*Z* isomers. [c]Using 0.30 mmol **1**.

Table 3. Aryl Transfer in Aliphatic C−H Arylation of Pinacolone Oxime 1a[a]



1a 2 3



[a]Reaction conditions: **1** (0.60 mmol), **2** (0.20 mmol), 2.5 mol % of [(Cp*IrCl$_2$)$_2$], 15 mol % of AgNTf$_2$, 3.0 equiv of PivOH, and 200 mg of 4 Å MS in cyclohexane (1.0 mL) at 100 °C, 12 h, under Ar; isolated yield. [b]Using Ph$_2$IOTf instead of PhMesIOTf.

polar solvents, such as DCE and acetone, the yield was remarkably decreased (entries 11 and 12). Using 3 equiv of oxime **1a** resulted in the predominant formation of mono-arylated product **3aa**, and changing the substrate ratio led to an

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

increase in the amount of byproduct **4aa** (entry 13). Note that other common catalytic systems, including $[(Cp^*RhCl_2)_2]$, $[Ru(p\text{-cyeme})Cl_2]$, and $[Cp^*Co(CO)_2I_2]$ could not form any arylation products (entries 14−16).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we first investigated the substrate scope of ketoximes with *p*-tolyl(mesityl)iodonium triflate (**2a**). In the case of substrates **1a**−**1c**, with increasing steric bulk from methyl to *n*-propyl adjacent to the quaternary centers, the yield of the corresponding products **3aa**−**3ca** gradually decreased (Table 2). Ketoxime **1d** occurred exclusively at the methyl group adjacent to the quaternary center. Interestingly, a functionalized ketoxime **1e** derived from ethyl acetoacetate was also employed successfully in this reaction. Most importantly, ketoximes **1f**−**1j** with α-hydrogens were compatible for this process and afforded mono-arylation products **3fa**−**3ja** in moderate yields. Reactions of **1g**, which contains multiple possible sites for the direct arylation, showed extremely high selectivity for activation of primary β-C−H bonds in lieu of those at secondary carbon centers. The six- and seven-membered ring ketoximes **1k**−**1m** were efficiently arylated to afford the desired products **3ka**−**3ma** in good yield, probably because of the less flexible nature of these cyclic conformers.

Ketoxime **1n** with an olefinic double bond was compatible, though in reduced yield, and the alkene arylation product was not observed.[16e] Since the heterocycles including pyridine, pyrazine, quinoline, pyrazole, isoxazole, and so on are commonly occurring structural motifs found in numerous pharmaceuticals and biologically active compounds,[18] we envisioned that a reliable method for direct arylation of these heterocycle-directed sp^3 C−H bonds would be very meaningful. To our delight, we found that a variety of heterocycles were effective directing groups, and functionalization of methyl groups containing α-hydrogens were well-tolerated under this optimized procedure. Both pyridine and quinoline substrates with unactivated sp^3 C−H bonds underwent arylation to afford monosubstituted products **3oa**−**3sa** in good yields. Additionally, reactions of pyrazine derivatives **1t**−**1v** bearing two N atoms chelating with catalyst still proceeded very well. It was interesting to find that the reaction of five-membered ring heterocycles, such as pyrazole **1w** and isoxazole **1x**, also proved facile and occurred exclusively at the methyl group.

Next, we sought to expand this transformation to the transfer of diverse aryl groups, and we were pleased to find that a range of substituted diaryliodonium triflates worked well with pinacolone oxime (**1a**) (Table 3). Aromatic groups displaying electron-neutral and electron-rich substituents at the meta- and para-position (**3ab**−**3ac** and **3ae**−**3ah**) were transferred in particularly good yields from the corresponding diaryliodonium triflates. The *ortho*-methyl-substituted aryl derivative led to a reduced yield (**3ad**), presumably as a result of increased steric congestion around the iridicycle intermediate, preventing oxidation or reductive elimination steps. Useful halogenated arenes were accommodated (**3ai**−**3al**), thereby providing possibilities for subsequent chemical transformations. An electron-withdrawing substitution group, such as ethyl ester, could be tolerated in this protocol, although reduced yield was observed (**3am**). We were pleased that the coupling of the polycyclic and heterocyclic aromatic motif was possible and proceeded in moderate to excellent yields (**3an**−**3ap**), thus further enhancing the scope of our reaction.

Late-Stage sp^3 C−H Arylation of Complex Molecules. The Lanostane-type triterpenoids have been demonstrated to exert diverse bioactivities, particularly cytotoxic, antitumor, and anti-inflammatory activities. Encouraged by this successful Ir(III)-catalyzed sp^3 C−H arylation reactions, we turned our attention to utilize this method as a key step for regioselective C−H arylation of Lanostane. As shown in Scheme 3, our

Scheme 3. Potential Route from Lanosterol to C(β)-Arylated Lanostane 4d



synthesis commenced with the preparation of oxime **4b** using commercially available substrate Lanosterol **4a**. Under the catalytic system, substrate **4c** could be employed in the selective direct arylation of the C(β) methyl group, affording product **6** in 68% yield with complete regioselectivity, as confirmed by X-ray diffraction. Further deprotection[19] and reduction of **4c** could form the C(β)-arylated Lanostane **4d**.[20]

Oleanolic acid is a nontoxic, hepatoprotective triterpenoid found in *Phytolacca americana*, which exerts antitumor and antiviral properties. It was also found to exhibit weak anti-HIV and weak anti-HCV activities, and more potent synthetic analogues are being investigated as potential drugs.[21] To further illustrate the functional group tolerance and synthetic versatility of this developed method, we next surveyed it in selective arylation of this complex natural compound (Scheme 4). We

Scheme 4. Late-Stage sp^3 C−H Arylation of Oleanolic Acid and Removal of the Auxiliary Group



began our synthesis with oleanolic acid **5a**, which was converted to the corresponding ketoxime **5b** in three steps. Similarly, the arylation of **5b** with Ph_2IOTf (**2b**) provided arylated product **5c** in 38% yield with complete selective arylation of the β-C position, as confirmed by 2D NMR. The auxiliary group of **5c** was then removed in HCl(aq)/MeOH solution to give a free ketone intermediate **5d** in nearly quantitative yield, which can recover to C(β)-arylated oleanolic acid via further reduction and hydrolysis.

Broad C−H Arylation of Arenes and Alkenes with Diaryliodonium Salts. Although the direct sp^2 C−H arylation reactions have been well-developed in the presence of different transition metals including Pd, Ni, Cu, Ru, Rh, and so on,[22]

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

Ir(III)-catalyzed C−H arylation of arenes still remains relatively rare.[23] With the developed catalytic system in hand, we next aimed to extend it to sp^2 C−H bonds (Table 4). Heterocycle-directed arylation was evaluated first. Phenylpyridine **6a**, benzo[*h*]quinoline (**6b**), and 1-(pyrimidin-2-yl)-1*H*-indole (**6c**) underwent smooth couplings, affording the corresponding products with yields between 48 and 87%. The arylation reactions also worked well for other arenes including *O*-methyloxime **6d**, *N*-phenyl amides **6e**−**6g**, benzamides **6h**−**6i**, heterocycle **6j**, and benzoic acid **6k**. Among these substrates, while *N*-phenyl amide **6g** contains several aliphatic and aryl C−H bonds that are available for C−H arylation, only sp^2 C−H activation product **7ga** was detected in 74% yield. This suggests that the sp^3 C−H activation is more difficult compared with the sp^2 C−H activation. Interestingly, Glorius et al. recently found that Rh(III)-catalyzed halogenation of electron-rich heterocyclic compounds such as **6j** at the 3-position of furan and the inherent 5-position was suppressed by the Rh(III) catalyst.[24] In our catalytic system, we also observed **7ja** as the sole arylation product in excellent yield with complete regiochemistry.[25] The palladium-catalyzed coupling of olefins with aryl or vinyl halides, known as the Heck reaction, is one of the most powerful methods to form a new carbon−carbon bond in modern synthetic chemistry.[26] However, this reaction occurred with *E* selectivity, and multisubstituted vinylic substrates have low reactivities. To further explore our catalytic system, the compatibility of this cross-coupling reaction with vinylic substrates was examined. In the case of enamide **6l** with both vinylic and allylic C−H bonds, the sp^2 C−H arylation product **7la** can be selectively obtained, indicating that the functionalization of a vinylic C−H bond is also much more favorable. Reaction of differently substituted enamides **6m**−**6n**, *O*-methyloxime **6o**, and even vinyl carboxylic acids **7p**−**7q** can yield the desired products **7ma**−**7qa** in 42−99% yields. Remarkably, these coupling reactions occurred with complete *Z* selectivity. This stereoselectivity is highly valuable because of its potential synthetic applications.

Table 4. *ortho* C−H Arylation of Various Arenes and Olefins[a]



[a]Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.22 mmol), **2** (0.20 mmol), 2.5 mol % of $[(Cp^*IrCl_2)_2]$, 15 mol % of $AgNTf_2$, 3.0 equiv of PivOH, and 200 mg of 4 Å MS in cyclohexane (1.0 mL) at 100 °C, 12 h, under Ar; isolated yield.

Mechanistic Investigations. Because the Ir(III)-catalyzed aliphatic C−H arylation by diaryliodonium salt has not been studied previously, we next sought to gain a more detailed mechanistic understanding of the transformation. The mechanistic studies of Pd(II)-catalyzed aryl C−H arylation reactions with diaryliodonium salts via a Pd^{II}/Pd^{IV} redox cycle were elaborated in Sanford's group.[27] In light of their work, we depict a plausible catalytic cycle in Scheme 5. First, an iridium species A induces C−H cleavage of oxime **1** to generate an iridicycle complex B. Oxidation of the intermediate B with diaryliodonium salt **2** forms an Ir(V) species C,[28] which then undergoes reductive elimination, leading to the desired product **3** (Pathway A). Since more traditional nucleophiles like $PhB(OH)_2$ and $PhSiMe_3$ were ineffective phenylating reagents in our catalytic and stoichiometric reaction conditions, an alternative process involving oxidation of the iridium species A to an Ir(V) species B′ ahead of C−H activation is also possible (Pathway B).

Scheme 5. Plausible Catalytic Cycle for Ir(III)-Catalyzed sp^3 C−H Arylation of Ketoximes



To uncover which pathway is more favorable for the current sp^3 C−H arylation, density functional theory (DFT) calculations[29] at the M06 level were first performed to simulate the reaction between butan-2-one *O*-methyl oxime (**1**) and Ph_2IOTf (**2b**). First, the generation of possible reactant complexes was studied (Scheme 6). According to the reaction condition, cationic complex $[Cp^*IrNTf_2]^+$ could possibly act as

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

Scheme 6. Energetics for the Generation of Possible Cationic Reactant Complexes[29]



a catalytically active species in the system, and reactant complex **RC-NTf$_2$** may be generated first. To evaluate the possibility for the formation of complexes containing other counterions, the equilibrium of **RC-NTf$_2$** to **RC-OTf** and **RC-OPiv**, respectively, by anion exchange with **2b** and HOPiv additive was calculated. The relative free energies in Scheme 6 show that such anion exchange reactions should be facile as the formation of **RC-OTf** and Ph_2INTf_2 is almost an energetically neutral process, while **RC-OPiv** is unfavorable thermodynamically by 3.6 kcal/mol. Alternatively, the exchange of the neutral ligand between **RC-NTf$_2$** and **RC-I** was also calculated, showing that the reactant complex of **2b** (**RC-I**) is more stable by 2.7 kcal/mol.

Because the energy gaps among the possible reactant complexes are relatively small (Scheme 6), the energies for subsequent transformations from these complexes are compared in Scheme 7. For complexes containing the oxime substrate, the β-C−H activation could be realized via a CMD process via **TS1-X** (Scheme 7a), in which the anionic ligand (X) is a base for deprotonation of the C(β)−H bond (calculations found that from **RC-X** the direct insertion of Ir(III) into the C(β)−H bond to form an Ir(V)−H species is higher in energy; details are given in the Supporting Information). Accordingly, the C−H activation is the least favorable when the NTf_2 anion is contained, which requires an activation barrier of 29.1 kcal/mol to generate iridicycle **IN1**. A remarkably reduced activation barrier of 21.5 kcal/mol was calculated from **RC-OTf**,[30] suggesting that the triflate-involved CMD process is much more favorable (the geometry of **TS1-OTf** indicates that the C−H bond is cleaved via a six-membered ring transition state (TS); see the Supporting Information for details). Although a comparable activation barrier of 21.3 kcal/mol was predicted from **RC-OPiv**, an overall barrier of 25.0 kcal/mol should be required if considering the fact that **RC-OPiv** is 3.7 kcal/mol higher in energy than **RC-OTf**. Upon the generation of iridicycle **IN1**, the oxidation of Ir(III) to Ir(V) with diaryliodonium salt was next studied (Scheme 7b),[31] which occurs via **TS2-X** and releases one molecule of PhI into the reaction media. Theoretically, different counterions could be possibly contained in the diaryliodonium salt due to the low-energy anion exchange reactions. Indeed, when using Ph_2INTf_2 as an oxidant, which could be formed exergonically from reaction of **RC-NTf$_2$** with Ph_2IOTf (Scheme 6), an activation barrier of

Scheme 7. Computational Results (Energies in kcal/mol)[29]



9.4 kcal/mol was calculated (X = NTf_2), which is 7.8 kcal/mol lower than the oxidation by the originally added oxidant Ph_2IOTf (X = OTf), showing the dramatic difference in calculated energies with different counterions (in **TS2-X**, the counterion is associated with the iodide moiety and no interaction with the Ir atom is found; geometries are given in the Supporting Information). The larger exergonicity associated with the formation of **IN2-OTf** over **IN2-NTf$_2$** could be attributed to steric reason because the latter intermediate is more crowded with a bulkier NTf_2 ligand. The involvement of a pivalate anion in the oxidation step was also studied and found to have the lowest barrier of 8.4 kcal/mol; however, such a possibility was considered to be less likely because of the high energy associated with the formation of Ph_2IOPiv.[32] Thus, the **IN2-NTf$_2$** is suggested as a possible Ir(V) species formed in the reaction. Finally, the C(sp^3)−C(sp^2) coupling could be realized by reductive elimination from **IN2-NTf$_2$**, which occurs easily via **TS3-NTf$_2$** with a barrier of 9.1 kcal/mol and generates product complex **PC-NTf$_2$** highly exergonically (Scheme 7c).

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

Based on the above results, the whole potential energy surface depicted in Scheme 7c suggests that the triflate-involved C−H activation requires the highest activation barrier of 24.1 kcal/mol from **RC-I**, and the C(β)-arylation product will be formed irreversibly with facile oxidation and reductive elimination steps. Further support of the calculated energy profile could be obtained by the result of isotopically labeled substrate **D3-1k**, which led to a considerable kinetic isotope effect (KIE) for both parallel experiments ($k_H/k_D \approx 4.0$) and competition experiments ($k_H/k_D \approx 4.6$) in Scheme 8.[33] It

Scheme 8. Kinetic Isotope Effect Studies



should be noted that the KIE results are also consistent with the involvement of Ph_2INTf_2 as an oxidant (Scheme 7c) because, if the Ph_2IOTf is a reaction partner in this step, the relative energy of **TS2-OTf** will be 6.1 kcal/mol higher than **TS1-OTf** on the potential energy surface, making the C−H activation step reversible.

To determine if the arylation could be achieved by the alternative mechanism of sequential oxidation/C−H activation (Pathway B, Scheme 5), the reaction from the most stable reactant complex **RC-I** was studied theoretically (Scheme 7d). The oxidation of Ir(III) to Ir(V) via **TS1′** requires a barrier of 26.4 kcal/mol. This is less favorable by 2.3 kcal/mol compared with the C−H activation via **TS1-OTf** (the energy difference of 2.6 kcal/mol between **RC-OTf** and **RC-I** was taken into consideration). The generated Ir(V) species **IN1′** is calculated to be even slightly higher in energy than **TS1′**. Incorporation of oxime **1** forms a more stable complex **IN2′**; however, the following C−H activation is impossible with activation barriers over 60 kcal/mol. This should be attributed to the fact that the Ir center is coordination saturated in **IN2′**, and dissociation of one of the ligands was observed during optimizations of the CMD transition states. Thus, Pathway B could be discarded according to the calculated energies.

In previous reports, the diaryliodonium tetrafluoroborate (**2b′**) was utilized as the arylating reagent for Pd-catalyzed sp^2 C−H arylation reactions.[27d,34] However, in our conditions, the diaryliodonium triflate (**2b**) was a superior coupling partner as diaryliodonium salts with other counterions (**2b′** and **2b″**) were totally unreactive (Scheme 7a). To understand this divergence, the reaction between **1** and **2b′** was studied theoretically by a procedure similar to that described above. It was found that the pivalate anion may be involved in the C(β)−H deprotonation process for generation of iridicycle **IN1**. However, higher energy is required for the following oxidation by Ph_2INTf_2. The predicted activation energy for the whole reaction between **1** and **2b′** is 26.5 kcal/mol (Scheme 9b; detailed potential energy surfaces and discussion are given in the Supporting Information), in qualitative agreement with the experiments that only a trace of product was obtained.

Scheme 9. Investigation of Different Counterions in Diaryliodonium Salts



In addition, it is also noticed that a primary β-carbon is necessary for this C−H arylation, and γ-carbon has much lower reactivity compared with that of the β-carbon since ketoximes **8a**−**8c** failed in this reaction. The unique β-selectivity of this current methodology could also be understood by the calculated mechanism. The reaction between pentan-2-one *O*-methyl oxime (**8a**) and **2b** was simulated to see whether β- or γ-arylation product could be formed. Calculated results found that increased activation energies are required for both the C−H activation and oxidation steps, and the latter step becomes the rate-determining step. Higher activation energies are required for both the β- and γ-arylations of **8a** (32.8 and 37.1 kcal/mol, respectively, Scheme 10) as a result of the increased

Scheme 10. Failed Substrate Analysis



energies for the oxidation processes (activation energies in Scheme 10 are determined by the energy gap between the most stable reactant complex and the oxidation TS; details are given in the Supporting Information).

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a versatile Ir(III)-catalyzed C−H arylation system. Under these developed conditions, we not only presented the first examples on the β-arylation of aliphatic C−H bonds in ketoximes, heterocycles such as pyrazine, pyrazole, and isoxazole, but also applied this C−C coupling in various aryl and vinylic C−H bonds. This protocol can also serve as an efficient tool for late-stage C−H arylation of complex molecules in synthetic and medicinal chemistry. Therefore, this transformation has significant potential application, particularly as a result of the high selectivities. Further investigation of the mechanism of this transformation was carried out by DFT calculations, which suggested that the reaction is initiated by anion exchange between a cationic reactant complex and diaryliodonium triflate. Such a process enables the triflate-involved CMD for C−H activation and the

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

following oxidation of Ir(III) to Ir(V) by Ph_2INTf_2, which are the most favorable among other possibilities. These findings should be useful for future development of new sp^3 C−H activations.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758.

Additional figures and supporting data (PDF)
X-ray data for **4c** (CIF)
X-ray data for **7ja** (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*shiz@nju.edu.cn
*xyz@wzu.edu.cn

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the "1000-Youth Talents Plan", the "Jiangsu Specially-Appointed Professor Plan", NSF of China (Grant 21402086, 21401099), and NSF of Jiangsu Province (Grant BK20140594). This work was also supported by a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions. Y.X. acknowledges financial support from NSFC (Grant 21372178) and NSF of Zhejiang Province (LY13B020007) and state-of-the-art facility support from the High Performance Computation Platform of Wenzhou University.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Recent reviews on transition-metal-catalyzed C−H activation reactions: (a) Lautens, M.; Thansandote, P. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 5874. (b) Giri, R.; Shi, B.-F.; Engle, K. M.; Maugel, N.; Yu, J.-Q. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 3242. (c) Jazzar, R.; Hitce, J.; Renaudat, A.; Sofack-Kreutzer, J.; Baudoin, O. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 2654. (d) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1147. (e) Cho, S. H.; Kim, J. Y.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 5068. (f) Sun, C.-L.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1293. (g) Wencel-Delord, J.; Dröge, T.; Liu, F.; Glorius, F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 4740. (h) Newhouse, T.; Baran, P. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 3362. (i) McMurray, L.; O'Hara, F.; Gaunt, M. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1885. (j) Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1215. (k) Shi, Z.; Zhang, C.; Tang, C.; Jiao, N. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 3381. (l) Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 5588. (m) White, M. C. *Science* **2012**, *335*, 807. (n) Engle, K. M.; Mei, T.-S.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 788. (o) Yamaguchi, J.; Yamaguchi, A. D.; Itami, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8960. (p) Neufeldt, S. R.; Sanford, M. S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 936. (q) Kuhl, N.; Hopkinson, M. N.; Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10236. (r) Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. *Nat. Chem.* **2013**, *5*, 369. (s) Guo, X.-X.; Gu, D.-W.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, W. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 1622.

(2) Recent reviews on direct arylation reactions: (a) Chen, X.; Engle, K. M.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5094. (b) Ackermann, L.; Vicente, R.; Kapdi, A. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 9792. (c) Daugulis, O.; Do, H.-Q.; Shabashov, D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2009**, *42*, 1074. (d) Li, B.; Yang, S.; Shi, Z. *Synlett* **2008**, 949. (e) Alberico, D.; Scott, M. E.; Lautens, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 174. (f) McGlacken, G. P.; Bateman, L. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 2447.

(3) Recent reviews on transition-metal-catalyzed sp^3 C−H activation reactions: (a) Chen, G.; Shi, Z.-J. *Nat. Sci. Rev.* **2014**, *1*, 272. (b) Qiu, G.; Wu, J. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2015**, *2*, 169. (c) Zhang, S.-Y.; Zhang, F.-M.; Tu, Y.-Q. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1937.

(4) (a) Kalyani, D.; Deprez, N. R.; Desai, L. V.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7330. (b) Daugulis, O.; Shabashov, D. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3657. (c) Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 11904. (d) Yu, W.-Y.; Sit, W.; Zhou, Z.; Chan, A. S.-C. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3174. For $Rh_2(OAc)_4$-catalyzed direct arylation of 8-methylquinoline, see: Kim, M.; Kwak, J.; Chang, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 8935.

(5) (a) Wang, D.-H.; Wasa, M.; Giri, R.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 7190. (b) Wasa, M.; Engle, K. M.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 9886. (c) Wasa, M.; Engle, K. M.; Lin, D. W.; Yoo, E. J.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 19598. (d) Musaev, D. G.; Kaledin, A. L.; Shi, B.-F.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 1690. (e) Giri, R.; Lan, Y.; Liu, P.; Houk, K. N.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14118. (f) Chan, K. S. L.; Wasa, M.; Chu, L.; Laforteza, B. N.; Miura, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 146. (g) He, J.; Li, S.; Deng, Y.; Fu, H.; Laforteza, B. N.; Spangler, J. E.; Homs, A.; Yu, J.-Q. *Science* **2014**, *343*, 1216. (h) Xiao, K.-J.; Lin, D. W.; Miura, M.; Zhu, R.-Y.; Gong, W.; Wasa, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8138. (i) Deng, Q.; Gong, W.; He, J.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 6692.

(6) (a) Zaitsev, V. G.; Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 13154. (b) Shabashov, D.; Daugulis, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 3965. (c) Feng, Y.; Wang, Y.; Landgraf, B.; Liu, S.; Chen, G. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3414. (d) He, G.; Chen, G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 5192. (e) Zhang, Q.; Chen, K.; Rao, W.-H.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, F.-J.; Shi, B.-F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 13588. (f) Pan, F.; Shen, P.-X.; Zhang, L.-S.; Wang, X.; Shi, Z.-J. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 4758. (g) He, G.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Nack, W. A.; Pearson, R.; Rabb-Lynch, J.; Chen, C. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 6488. (h) Chen, K.; Shi, B.-F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 11950. (i) Zhang, Q.; Yin, X.-S.; Zhao, S.; Fang, S.-L.; Shi, B.-F. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 8353.

(7) Shang, R.; Ilies, L.; Matsumoto, A.; Nakamura, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 6030.

(8) (a) Aihara, Y.; Chatani, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 898. (c) Iyanaga, M.; Aihara, Y.; Chatani, N. *J. Org. Chem.* **2014**, *79*, 11933.

(9) Gu, Q.; Al Mamari, H. H.; Graczyk, K.; Diers, E.; Ackermann, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 3868.

(10) Arene C−H functionalization using a removable/modifiable or a traceless directing group strategy: (a) Zhang, F.; Spring, D. R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 6906. Examples of β-oxygenation and amination of aliphatic C−H bonds in ketoximes: (b) Desai, L. V.; Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 9542. (c) Ren, Z.; Mo, F.; Dong, G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 16991. (d) Thu, H.-Y.; Yu, W.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 9048. (e) Kang, T.; Kim, Y.; Lee, D.; Wang, Z.; Chang, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4141. (f) Kang, T.; Kim, H.; Kim, J. G.; Chang, S. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 12073.

(11) (a) Ryuji, U. U.S. Patent Appl. US 20010034355, 2001. (b) Wang, S.; Zhang, C.; Yang, G.; Yang, Y. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2014**, *9*, 1027. (c) Choi, J. S.; Park, H. J.; Jung, H. A.; Chung, H. Y.; Jung, J. H.; Choi, W. C. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2000**, *63*, 1705. (d) Amagase, K.; Kimura, Y.; Wada, A.; Yukishige, T.; Murakami, T.; Nakamura, E.; Takeuchi, K. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2014**, *20*, 2783.

(12) For reviews on half-sandwich rhodium and iridium complexes, see: (a) Han, Y.-F.; Jin, G.-X. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 2799. (b) Song, G.; Wang, F.; Li, X. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 3651. (c) Patureau, F. W.; Wencel-Delord, J.; Glorius, F. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2012**, *45*, 31. (d) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 11212. Recent examples on Ir(III)-catalyzed sp^2 C−H activation: (e) Ueura, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 5362. (f) Quan, Y.; Xie, Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 15513. (g) Hwang, H.; Kim, J.; Jeong, J.; Chang, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10770. (h) Kim, J.; Chang, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 2203. (i) Gwon, D.; Lee, D.; Kim, J.; Park, S.; Chang, S. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 12421. (j) Suzuki, C.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 1597.

(13) For reviews on iridium-catalyzed C−H borylation and silylation reactions, see: (a) Mkhalid, I. A. I.; Barnard, J. H.; Marder, T. B.;

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

Murphy, J. M.; Hartwig, J. F. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 890. (b) Hartwig, J. F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 1992. (c) Hartwig, J. F. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2012**, *45*, 864.

(14) Zhou, M.; Schley, N. D.; Crabtree, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 12550.

(15) (a) Xie, F.; Qi, Z.; Yu, S.; Li, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4780. (b) Wang, H.; Xie, F.; Qi, Z.; Li, X. *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 920.

(16) Diaryliodonium salts often combine with copper catalysts, giving rise to a high oxidation state Cu(III)/aryl intermediate in various electrophilic substitution-type (SEAr) arylation reactions. Representative Cu-catalyzed electrophilic substitution-type arylation reactions: (a) Zhang, F.; Das, S.; Walkinshaw, A. J.; Casitas, A.; Taylor, M.; Suero, M. G.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8851. (b) Walkinshaw, A. J.; Xu, W.; Suero, M. G.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 12532. (c) Cahard, E.; Bremeyer, N.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9284. (d) Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. *Science* **2009**, *323*, 1593. (e) Phipps, R. J.; McMurray, L.; Ritter, S.; Duong, H. A.; Gaunt, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 10773. (f) Ciana, C. L.; Phipps, R. J.; Brandt, J. R.; Meyer, F.-M.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 458. (g) Duong, H. A.; Gilligan, R. E.; Cooke, M. L.; Phipps, R. J.; Gaunt, M. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 463. (h) Sokolovs, I.; Lubriks, D.; Suna, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6920. (i) Zhu, S.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 10815. (j) Skucas, E.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 9090. (k) Harvey, J. S.; Simonovich, S. P.; Jamison, C. R.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 13782. (l) Kieffer, M. E.; Chuang, K. V.; Reisman, S. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 5557. (m) Wang, Y.; Chen, C.; Peng, J.; Li, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 5323.

(17) For reviews on C−H activation involving concerted metalation−deprotonation mechanism, see: (a) Ackermann, L. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1315. (b) Ackermann, L. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 281. (c) Pascual, S.; de Mendoza, P.; Braga, A. A. C.; Maseras, F.; Echavarren, A. M. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 6021. (d) Lapointe, D.; Fagnou, K. *Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *39*, 1118.

(18) (a) *The Merck Index* on CD-ROM, v. 12.3; Chapman & Hall, 2000. (b) O'Donnell, G.; Poeschl, R.; Zimhony, O.; Gunaratnam, M.; Moreira, J. B. C.; Neidle, S.; Evangelopoulos, D.; Bhakta, S.; Malkinson, J. P.; Boshoff, H. I.; Lenaerts, A.; Gibbons, S. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2009**, *72*, 360. (c) Nicholas, G. M.; Blunt, J. W.; Munro, M. H. G. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2001**, *64*, 341.

(19) The Wolff−Kischner reduction in diterpene synthesis: Kim, M. B.; Shaw, J. T. *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 3324.

(20) For reviews on triterpenoids, see: (a) Ríos, J.-L.; Andújar, I.; Recio, M. C.; Giner, R.-M. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2012**, *75*, 2016. (b) Connolly, J. D.; Hill, R. A. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2008**, *25*, 794.

(21) (a) Liu, J. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **1995**, *49*, 57. (b) Mengoni, F.; Lichtner, M.; Battinelli, L.; Marzi, M.; Mastroianni, C. M.; Vullo, V.; Mazzanti, G. *Planta Med.* **2002**, *68*, 111. (c) Yu, F.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Peng, Y.; Qiu, Y.; Shi, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Xiao, S.; Wang, H.; Huang, X.; Zhu, L.; Chen, K.; Zhao, C.; Zhang, C.; Yu, M.; Sun, D.; Zhang, L.; Zhou, D. *J. Med. Chem.* **2013**, *56*, 4300. (d) Dinkova-Kostova, A. T.; Liby, K. T.; Stephenson, K. K.; Holtzclaw, W. D.; Gao, X.; Suh, N.; Williams, C.; Risingsong, R.; Honda, T.; Gribble, G. D.; Sporn, M. B.; Talalay, P. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2005**, *102*, 4584.

(22) Recent examples on transition-metal-catalyzed sp^2 C−H arylation: (a) Zhao, X.; Yeung, C. S.; Dong, V. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 5837. (b) Gandeepan, P.; Parthasarathy, K.; Cheng, C.-H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 8569. (c) Li, B.; Wu, Z.-H.; Gu, Y.-F.; Sun, C.-L.; Wang, B.-Q.; Shi, Z.-J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1109. (d) Lyons, T. W.; Hull, K. L.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 4455. (e) Gao, K.; Lee, P.-S.; Long, C.; Yoshikai, N. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4234. (f) Wencel-Delord, J.; Nimphius, C.; Patureau, F. W.; Glorius, F. *Chem. - Asian J.* **2012**, *7*, 1208. (g) Karthikeyan, J.; Haridharan, R.; Cheng, C.-H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12343. (h) Wagner, A. M.; Hickman, A. J.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 15710. (j) Wan, L.; Dastbaravardeh, N.; Li, G.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 18056. (k) Zhang, X.; Wang, F.; Qi, Z.; Yu, S.; Li, X. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 1586. (l) Yang, G.; Lindovska, P.; Zhu, D.; Kim, J.; Wang, P.; Tang, R.-Y.; Movassaghi, M.; Yu, J.-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10807. (m) Yang, W.; Ye, S.; Fanning, D.; Coon, T.; Schmidt, Y.; Krenitsky, P.; Stamos, D.; Yu, J.-Q. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 2501. (n) Haridharan, R.; Muralirajan, K.; Cheng, C.-H. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2015**, *357*, 366.

(23) During the revision process for this manuscript, Chang et al. reported a related paper on Ir(III)-catalyzed C−H arylation of sp^2 C−H bonds with aryldiazonium salts. (a) Shin, K.; Park, S.-W.; Chang, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 8584. Two examples using iridium catalysts for C−H bond arylation of (hetero)arenes with iodoarenes: (b) Fujita, K.; Nonogawa, M.; Yamaguchi, R. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 1926. (c) Join, B.; Yamamoto, T.; Itami, K. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3644.

(24) Schröder, N.; Lied, F.; Glorius, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 1448.

(25) Direct arylations of electron-rich heterocycles with diaryliodonium salts in the absence of directing groups: (a) Tang, D.-T.; Collins, K. D.; Ernst, J. B.; Glorius, F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1809. (b) Zhu, Y.; Bauer, M.; Ploog, J.; Ackermann, L. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 13099. (c) Ackermann, L.; Dell'Acqua, M.; Fenner, S.; Vicente, R.; Sandmann, R. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2358.

(26) Leading reviews on transition-metal-catalyzed Mizoroki−Heck reaction: (a) Heck, R. F. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Trost, B. M., Ed.; Pergamon: New York, 1991; Vol. *4*, Chapter 4.3. (b) Bräse, S.; de Meijere, A. *Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions*; de Meijere, A., Diederich, F., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York, 2004; Chapter 5. (c) Nicolaou, K. C.; Bulger, P. G.; Sarlah, D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 4442. (d) Beletskaya, I.; Cheprakov, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3009. (e) Dounay, A.; Overman, L. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2945. (f) Ferreira, E. M.; Zhang, H.; Stoltz, B. M. *Tetrahedron* **2008**, *64*, 5987. (g) Le Bras, J.; Muzart, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1170.

(27) (a) Topczewski, J. J.; Sanford, M. S. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 70. (b) Kalyani, D.; Deprez, N. R.; Desai, L. V.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 7330. (c) Deprez, N. R.; Kalyani, D.; Krause, A.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 4972. (d) Deprez, N. R.; Sanford, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 11234.

(28) Reactions via Ir^{III}/Ir^{V} catalytic cycle: (a) Cheng, C.; Kim, B. G.; Guironnet, D.; Brookhart, M.; Guan, C.; Wang, D. Y.; Krogh-Jespersen, K.; Goldman, A. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6672. (b) Xie, F.; Qi, Z.; Yu, S.; Li, X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4780. (c) Kim, H.; Shin, K.; Chang, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 5904. (d) Tamura, H.; Yamazaki, H.; Sato, H.; Sakaki, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 16114.

(29) All calculations were done at the (PCM)M06/6-31G(d)-LANL2DZ level by running Gaussian 09. Relative solvation free energies were used for discussion. Computational details and citation of the software are given in the Supporting Information.

(30) If the arylation of the sp^2 C−H bond follows a similar mechanism, the calculated energy for the triflate-involved C(sp^2)−H activation step of acetophenone *O*-methyl oxime is only 15.6 kcal/mol, consistent with the more facile cleavage of the sp^2 C−H bonds.

(31) For recent DFT studies of Ir catalysis in which Ir(V) species are involved, see ref 28a and (a) Larsen, M. A.; Wilson, C. V.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 8633. (b) Green, A. G.; Liu, P.; Merlic, C. A.; Houk, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4575. (c) Metsänen, T. T.; Hrobárik, P.; Klare, H. F. T.; Kaupp, M.; Oestreich, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 6912. (d) Dobereiner, G. E.; Nova, A.; Schley, N. D.; Hazari, N.; Miller, S. J.; Eisenstein, O.; Crabtree, R. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 7547. (e) Huang, G.; Kalek, M.; Liao, R.-Z.; Himo, F. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 1735. (f) Thawani, A.; Rajeev, R.; Sunoj, R. B. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 4069. (g) Ou, W. C.; Cundari, T. R. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 225. (h) Polo, V.; Al-Saadi, A. A.; Oro, L. A. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 5156.

(32) The generation of Ph_2IOPiv by anion exchange between **2b** and HOPiv is unfavorable:



DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240

(33) Simmons, E. M.; Hartwig, J. F. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3066.
(34) (a) Hickman, A. J.; Sanford, M. S. *ACS Catal.* **2011**, *1*, 170. (b) Wagner, A. M.; Sanford, M. S. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 288.

DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b06758
J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 12231−12240